

SHORT REPORT

Open Access



Comprehensive Medical Support in Complex Emergencies (CMSCE): pilot course review

John M Quinn^{1*} , Trisha Jigar^{2*}, Michael Reinwald^{3*}, Percy S T Annan⁴, Thomas Aapore⁵, James M Wilson⁶, Margaret Ellis Bourdeaux^{7*}, Timo Ulrichs⁸, Martin CM Bricknell^{9*} , Alan Moore^{10*}, Stefan Goebbel¹¹ and Christian Haggemiller¹²

Abstract

Global threats to health and health security are growing. Fragile and failed states, armed groups, ungoverned spaces, outbreaks and potential unknown "Disease X" threats, antimicrobial resistance (AMR), hybrid and gray zone conflict all exacerbate complex medical emergencies. These growing threats increase preventable morbidity and mortality of the most vulnerable populations. In an effort to promote best practices, standardize responses, and prevent excess death and disability in these contexts, The Kofi Annan International Peacekeeping Training Centre (KAIPTC), with support from multiple international partners and a volunteer facilitator faculty, administered the pilot course for military and civilian health officers involved in U.N. peacekeeping missions entitled, "*Comprehensive Medical Support in Complex Emergencies (CMSCE 19)*." This brief review paper provides a description of the process in designing and delivering an interdisciplinary course for providers and decision makers responding to complex emergencies. We conclude with best practices and next steps for course evolution.

Keywords: Complex Emergencies, United Nations, Emergency response, Health Security

Introduction

Health care professionals responding to complex medical emergencies and humanitarian crises must be prepared to

adapt best practices that maximize care, especially in impoverished environments [1]. State fragility and failure gives rise to armed groups, increases actors committing violence and reduces the capacity of government [2–4]. Political institutions and social organizations experience a reduced accountability with respect to a Responsibility to Protect (R2P) [5, 6]. The combined threats of conflict and disease hinder health security, democracy, economic growth, stability, human protection and peace. Climate change, environmental degradation, economic and financial instability may further exacerbate the negative effects of complex emergencies and humanitarian crises and disasters.

In both long standing and emergency missions, disaster, pandemic and outbreak response produce significant challenges to interoperability by stakeholders and actors and may lead to increases in preventable morbidity and mortality. Health providers from the civilian and military domains and actors providing medical support in disasters, crisis, war, and conflict are increasingly targets of

*Correspondence: john.quinn@lf1.cu; dhabalit@student.cuni.cz; Michael.Reinwald@kaiptc.org; mbourdeaux@partners.org; martin.bricknell@kcl.ac.uk; alanjlmoore@hotmail.com

¹ Prague Center for Global Health Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czechia

² First Faculty of Medicine, Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology Prague Center for Global Health, Dhabalia Global Health Intern Charles University, Prague, Czechia

³ German Armed Forces Course Director Kofi Annan International Peacekeeping Training Centre (KAIPTC), Teshie, Ghana

⁷ Security and Global Health Project Belfer Center Harvard Kennedy School of Government Instructor, Department of Global Health and Social Change, Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA

⁹ Health and Military Medicine, Conflict and Health Research Group School of Security Studies, King's College London, K4L 10 Kings Building, WC2R 2LS London, UK

¹⁰ FRICS Western Health & Social Care Trust Altnagelvin Hospital Londonderry United, BT47 6SB Londonderry, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

violence [7, 8]. Cross-agency and cross-health responder communication during and after emergencies, and standing forums to exchange of lessons learned are vital to maximize success and ensure effective and efficient crisis response.

Complex emergencies witness a rise in mortality and morbidity among the population, either as a result of the direct effects of war or conflict, or indirectly through the increased prevalence of disease (i.e. malnutrition, transmission of communicable diseases); these are often the result of deliberate political strategies on the part of the parties to a conflict (military, armed groups, militia etc.) [9, 10].

Characteristics of complex emergencies include a large number of civilian victims, populations who are besieged or displaced, human suffering on a major scale; substantial international assistance is needed and the response goes beyond the mandate or capacity of any one agency; delivery of humanitarian assistance is impeded or prevented by parties to the conflict; high security risks for relief workers providing humanitarian assistance; and relief workers targeted by parties to the conflict [11, 12]. Complex emergencies require coordination between military and civilian entities.

Military and security forces face increasingly complex scenarios requiring crisis management that includes the use of medical and health capabilities. The civil-military interface requires not only evidenced-based practices and policy rooted in precedent, but also immediate information exchange. Civilian-military interoperability prove vital during complex medical emergencies - especially in areas of conflict [13]; Korram-Manesh, et al. 2019; [14]. For example, addressing the 2014-2015 polio and 2017-present day measles outbreak in Ukraine is complicated by the outbreak of hostilities. The war in Ukraine poses major challenges for access to the most vulnerable populations for vaccine administration and only the Ministry of Health with support of Defense forces supported mitigation of the health crisis [15].

Basic Definitions

Term	Definition
Disaster	A serious event that causes a systemic breakdown in the relationship between humans and their environment on a scale that requires extraordinary efforts to allow the community to cope, and often requires outside help and international aid [9, 16, 17]. Disasters are divided into two major categories: those caused by natural phenomenon and those caused by humans.

Complex Emergency	A humanitarian crisis in a country, region or society where there is a total or considerable breakdown of authority resulting from internal or external conflict, and which requires an international response that goes beyond the capacity of any single agency and/or the ongoing UN country program.
Responsibility to Protect (R2P)	The responsibility to protect embodies a political commitment to end the worst forms of violence and persecution; it seeks to narrow the gap between Member States' pre-existing obligations under international humanitarian and human rights law and the reality faced by populations at risk of genocide, war crimes, ethnic cleansing and crimes against humanity [18].

The Kofi Annan International Peacekeeping Training Centre (KAIPTC) is an internationally recognized training center and a Centre of Excellence (COE) of the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS). The Ghana Ministry of Defence (MoD) established the Kofi Annan International Peacekeeping Training Centre (KAIPTC) in 1998 and commissioned it in 2004. The purpose was to build upon and share Ghana's five decades of internationally acclaimed experience and competence in peace operations with other states in the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) region and the rest of Africa.

The Kofi Annan International Peacekeeping Training Centre (KAIPTC) provides an environment in which the specific challenges to standardize education and universal training in promotion of evidence-based practices in inter-agency coordination and collaboration can be shared with representatives of multiple institutions with global impact.

The KAIPTC serves in the research and training for conflict prevention, conflict management and conflict resolution and sustainable delivery of enhanced regional capacity building for peace support operations [19]. Courses taught at the KAIPTC include, peace and support operations, conflict management and peace and security studies. Masters and PhD programs are available. The KAIPTC is a magnet for nonpartisan, nonjudgmental best practices for peace and stability operations for the African Continent and the international community that promote peace.

The Comprehensive Medical Support in Complex Emergencies (CMSCE) Course

The aim of this course was to promote an integrated health response though enhancing interoperability across civilian and military actors that respond to complex emergencies. The central theme was to promote and

develop an understanding among multiple humanitarian, governmental and military actors. Key health challenges include a lack of access to health care, destroyed health-care infrastructure, medical and disaster logistics and information sharing, lack of health workers and emerging epidemiological pressures. The course is focused on the operational principles that drive the response to disrupted health systems using civilian and military contributions to health, access to health and strengthening response throughout the disaster cycle. The course is designed to create discussions between participants that aim to improve understanding of the controversies and challenges associated with the civilian-military interface across all domains that meet on the humanitarian field of operations.

Course Methodology

This course was not a didactic instructor to student format, but rather a facilitator with participant process. Specifically, a Collaborative Problem Based Learning (CPBL) approach facilitated open discussion and sharing of experience. Combining this with core skills and guidance, the environment allowed the emergence of a shared view juxtaposed to current best practices.

Evidence based decision making and practice is the anticipated outcome from CPBL. In this model that was deployed for this pilot course, participants' interactions and contributions support success in the learning environment.

The Pilot Course

The design of this course set out a one-week curriculum conducted at the KAIPTC to expose course participants to relevant and current skills, expertise and best practices in the domain of medical support and cooperation. The pilot course had specific emphasis on the African continent with lessons that can be transferred globally. This course was initiated by the German Institute for Defence and Strategic Studies (GIDS) and co-organized with the KAIPTC. The initial funding for this pilot course and the next course in 2020 is provided by the German government. To ensure sustainability, the goal is to invite multiple sponsoring nations and institutions for future courses.

The CMSCE courses' main aims were to promote an integrated health response through enhanced interoperability across civilian and military actors in complex emergencies. This included value added from unofficial communication and networking across agencies, domains and countries supporting these best practices, especially partners and actors that face the greatest challenges in conflict.

Setting

The CMSCE course took place in Accra Ghana at the KAIPTC from 11 to 15 November 2019. The training audience and target group for this novel pilot course included Senior Medical Officers (SMOs) and Force Medical Officers (FMOs) from the United Nations (UN), the African Union (AU), the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS), The North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), the European Union (EU), Non-governmental organizations (NGOs). International civilian and military personnel preparing to serve on a myriad of missions, crisis and disasters in support of best practices.

The specific objectives of the course focused on the creation of an understanding of the definition of the complex environment of humanitarian support in conflict situations. This included the different agendas of the main civilian and military stakeholders in international crisis management. Emphasis throughout the curriculum focused on international guidelines, concepts and principles and their application to complex emergencies. Basic definitions focused on the complex relations and interdependence of health and human security. Discussion and debate were encouraged on the analysis of capacity and capability building programs that strengthen health systems in conflict and disaster, especially in affected regions that require joint health and security actors.

In addition, the course promoted the International Committee of the Red Cross and Red Crescent (ICRC) 'Health in Danger' project that highlight ethics, rights and responsibilities of healthcare staff. This included discussion on International Humanitarian Law (IHL), international human rights of caregivers and patient safety. Indeed, communities and all actors must address and reduce violence against healthcare workers. Finally, the main framework in disaster risk reduction, prevention and mitigation, including situational awareness, were presented.

Participants

Participants were selected with attention to a balanced geographical, ethnic, gender and professional distribution. Participants followed the target groups listed above and included special invitation from 15 UN missions, national and international organizations, ministries, think tanks and centers for global health and professionals with an interest to learn about medical coordination and cooperation in key UN mission areas. There were over 47 applications received online through the KAIPTC Learning Management System (LMS). Of these 47 applicants, 17 were invited and 23 participants completed the course. Seven women participated.

Highlighted Core Course Content

To only serve as a superficial review of some of the core topics addressed in the pilot course, this section highlights the central themes. The course timetable designed directed a ‘learning journey’ across one week as opposed to a didactic lecture series.

- Day 1 included a review of foundational concepts of health security, complex emergencies, and key actors involved in humanitarian assistance. In the opening session on ‘what is health’, perspectives on human security, national security and global security with consideration of the formal and informal actors in the health economy within a country were presented.
- Day 2 explored perspectives of organizational actors and stakeholders such as emergency humanitarian responders, public health, peacekeeping missions, and health security intelligence. The humanitarian charter, humanitarian imperative and international law were presented and reflected upon with respect to specific situations in military conflicts [20]. This section also highlighted medical ethics in conflict with discussion of the law of armed conflict and international humanitarian law with specific reference to the rights and duties of healthcare workers and ‘healthcare in danger’.
- Day 3 introduced challenges in civilian-military response to conflict, medical ethics in conflict, and included an offsite military hospital visit that included an interactive discussion of how to address mental health in complex emergencies for deployment. Organizational perspectives included the planning cycle in military medical operations with civilian actors as a mitigation of the outcomes of intentional violence between armed actors. One module of the course contained basic information about the ethical and legal backdrops of humanitarian aid.
- Days 4 the SPHERE¹ concept and a scenario-based tabletop exercise (TTE) were introduced [21]. Principles and minimal standards of humanitarian aid in the sections of Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH), food, shelter and medical aid were described in order to prepare the participants for the tabletop exercise (TTE) so they could practice referencing humanitarian standards as well as address a global health complex emergency. The TTE spanned 1.5 days and included a practical approach to SPHERE methodologies and encouraged out-of-

the-box thinking in the approaches to complex emergencies.

- On day 5, the TTE scenario continued in the presentation of a complex humanitarian crisis with overlays of food insecurity and conflict that included an inject of a “Disease X” outbreak. The emphasis of the TTE was on collaboration among the various actors, understanding how to interpret indicator information as a vital component to situational awareness, and how to connect that information to preparedness and response. The TTE reflection and insights from participants on considerations going forward. The participants shared and reflected upon the concepts applied and knowledge gained over the week course and how they would apply core principles into their respective practice.

Monitoring and Evaluation Outcomes and next steps

The CMSCE Pilot course was successfully conducted and participants and facilitators were both vital to the success. Based on feedback from participants and facilitators, and a facilitator roundtable review, many outcomes can be described. Going forward, the CMSCE will be offered in two separate courses: basic and advanced. The basic course will be foundational and will focus on principles and common guidelines and definitions found in the SPHERE handbook, multiple UN disaster and outbreak response guidance and other core definitions used for those who respond to complex emergencies. Owing to feedback about practical applications and healthcare under threat, this basic course will also include the very practical first aid at point of injury found in the UN guidelines and military medicine. These concepts found in the paradigm of tactical emergency / combat casualty care (TECC / TCCC) which focus on preventable causes of death from war related trauma.

The advanced course will be run as a workshop and will assume a foundational understanding of basic core concepts and will instead address current and emergent topics for subject matter experts and senior leadership responding to complex emergencies on a strategic level. The advanced workshop will have different topics each iteration and the curriculum will be designed and created in 2020.

Another outcome to maximize time during the course is to introduce a more robust online learning environment with open-source materials in the form of videos, articles, chapters, online quizzes and other academic materials. This eLearning component will be introduced through the existing KAIPTC Learning Management System (LMS). This eLearning component aims to present core definitions while course participants are still in

¹ The Sphere Project and its Handbook are well known for introducing considerations of quality and accountability to humanitarian response

their home country prior to arriving to KAIPTC and taking the live portion of the course.

Conclusions

In summary, global health threats are increasing, and exacerbating factors are making complex medical emergencies ever more difficult to approach and apply best practices. In an effort to promote best practices, to standardize response and to mitigate preventable death and morbidity, the Kofi Annan International Peacekeeping Training Centre (KAIPTC) administered the pilot course entitled, "*Comprehensive Medical Support in Complex Emergencies (CMSCE 19)*." The next courses to be offered will breakdown into a basic and an advanced level for practitioners.

This brief review paper describes the process of designing and delivering this interdisciplinary pilot course and sets forth a roadmap for the future.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the KAIPTC for the opportunity to participate in this pilot course. We also wish to thank the German Government for its financial, managerial and organizational support provided by Col. Michael Reinwald. Lastly, we wish to thank all those who respond to disaster and run into a complex emergency while most are trying to escape out of it. To the anonymous responders, we thank you all for your service.

Authors' contributions

JQ, JW, TU and MB provided the first four drafts. MR, PT, TA, MB, AM, SG and CH provided vital analysis and final draft of the "Highlighted Core Course Content" section. All authors approved of the final manuscript.

Authors' information

John Quinn is lead researcher at the Prague Center for Global Health (www.pcgf.lf1.cuni.cz), Staff Emergency Medicine Registrar in London and Medical Director and consultant to Tangiers International. He works in conflict, disaster and emergency medicine. He holds his Masters in Public Health (MPH), PhD in Hygiene and Epidemiology and is both a paramedic and emergency medical doctor with over 20 years' experience globally. His research efforts are found here: Researchgate: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/John_Quinn_V; LinkedIn: <https://www.linkedin.com/in/johnmquinnv> and ORCID ID: orcid.org/0000-0001-6877-8298.

Dr. Quinn has performed emergency medical assessments in Ukraine, Israel and Palestine, Iraq, Kurdistan and Timor-Leste. He has established and operated remote medicine clinics in conflict zones and for remote communities and has consulted for NATO and NATO partner nations in the health and medical stability operations sectors. He worked as an emergency physician at a Level One Trauma Center in Ireland and has worked in Ukraine providing emergency medical consulting and training in Tactical Combat Casualty Care (TCCC) and Damage Control.

Resuscitation (DCR). Presently, Dr. Quinn completes clinical time in the UK in emergency medicine and conducts global health research related to conflict and disaster. He is based in Prague with his family.

Professor Bricknell took up his appointment as Professor in Conflict, Health and Military Medicine at King's College London in April 2019. Prior to his he served 34 years in the UK Defence Medical Services, culminating his service as the Surgeon General of the UK Armed Forces. He undertook operational tours in Afghanistan, Iraq, and the Balkans with multiple additional overseas assignments. In 2010 and 2006, he held senior Medical Adviser appointments in the NATO ISAF mission. He commanded 22 Field Hospital in 1999-2002. He has trained as a general practitioner and is an accredited specialist in both Public Health and Occupational Medicine. He holds two doctorates and 3 master's degrees. He has published over 100 academic papers across military medical subjects. He is especially interested in how organizations learn, care pathways in military healthcare, and the political economy of health in conflict. He was

awarded the Companion of the Order of Bath, the Order of St John and the US Bronze Star during his military service.

Dr. James M Wilson is a board-certified, practicing pediatrician who specializes in operational health security intelligence, with a focus on the anticipation, detection, and warning of infectious disease crises. Dr. Wilson was the first operations chief of the Department of Homeland Security's National Biosurveillance Integration Center. Dr. Wilson led the private intelligence teams that provided tracking of H5N1 avian influenza as it spread from Asia to Europe and Africa, detection of vaccine drifted H3N2 influenza in 2007, warning of the 2009 H1N1 influenza pandemic, and discovery of the United Nations as the source of the 2010 cholera disaster in Haiti. Dr. Wilson is a strong advocate for effective and accountable global health security intelligence and the need for credible and balanced threat assessments.

Timo Ulrichs is professor for global health at Akkon University for Human Sciences (www.akkon-hochschule.de). He studied medicine and specialized in medical microbiology and infection epidemiology and holds another doctoral degree in public health. His research interests are infection control, health care system strengthening, global health security and the nexus between humanitarian aid, development aid and peacekeeping. He works as a trainer for SPHERE standards and interventions and runs several collaborative projects in health and biomedical research with partners in Eastern Europe, Southern Caucasus and Africa. For these research activities, he founded the Institute for Research in International Assistance at Akkon University.

Funding

The German Government sponsored for accommodation of all course participants, flights, food, accommodation and sustenance of the facilitator faculty and the Learning Develop Design Workshop in Accra, Ghana.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available in the KAIPTC repository.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

No ethics or internal review board permission were sought or are required for this paper. The Kofi Annan International Peacekeeping Training Centre (KAIPTC) approved the administration of this pilot course under its leadership.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

Authors disclose no competing interests of any kind.

Author details

¹Prague Center for Global Health Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czechia. ²First Faculty of Medicine, Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology Prague Center for Global Health, Dhabala Global Health Intern Charles University, Prague, Czechia.

³German Armed Forces Course Director Kofi Annan International Peacekeeping Training Centre (KAIPTC), Teshie, Ghana. ⁴37 Military Hospital Accra Ghana, Accra, Ghana. ⁵M2 Medical Intelligence, Inc, Accra, Ghana. ⁶Ghana Red Cross Society Ghana, Accra, Ghana. ⁷Security and Global Health Project Belfer Center Harvard Kennedy School of Government Instructor, Department of Global Health and Social Change, Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA.

⁸Institute for Research in International, Assistance Akkon University for Human Sciences, Berlin, Germany. ⁹Health and Military Medicine, Conflict and Health Research Group School of Security Studies, King's College London, K4L.10 Kings Building, WC2R 2LS London, UK. ¹⁰FRICS Western Health & Social Care Trust Altnagelvin Hospital Londonderry United, BT47 6SB Londonderry, UK.

¹¹United Nations Division of Healthcare Management And Occupational Safety and Health, New York, USA. ¹²German Institute for Defence and Strategic Studies (GIDS) Research Coordinator Health Security Interface & CMSCE Chief Facilitator, Hamburg, Germany.

Received: 30 January 2020 Accepted: 3 September 2021

Published online: 12 April 2022

References

1. DeFraites RF, Hickey P, Sharp TW, Beadling CW. The Health Care Response to Disasters, Complex Emergencies, and Population Displacement. In Hunter's Tropical Medicine and Emerging Infectious Diseases. 2020 p219-227.
2. Beehner L. Fragile states and the territory conundrum to countering violent nonstate actors. *Democracy Security*. 2018;14(2):101–27.
3. Lemay-Hébert N. From Saving Failed States to Managing Risks: Reinterpreting Fragility Through Resilience. In: Governance and political adaptation in fragile states. Cham: Palgrave Macmillan; 2019. pp. 75–101.
4. Fazal TM, Poast P. War Is Not over. *Foreign Aff*. 2019;98:74.
5. Bellamy AJ, McLoughlin S. Human Protection and the Politics of Armed Intervention: With Responsibility Comes Accountability. *Global Responsibility to Protect*. 2019;11(3):333–61.
6. Busser M. Ethics, Obligation, and the Responsibility to Protect: Contesting the Global Power Relations of Accountability. Routledge; 2019.
7. Lafta RK, Falah N. Violence against health-care workers in a conflict affected city. *Medicine Conflict Survival*. 2019;35(1):65–79.
8. Patel P, Gibson-Fall F, Sullivan R, Irwin R. Documenting attacks on health workers and facilities in armed conflicts. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2017;95(1):79.
9. Spiegel PB. "Differences in World Responses to Natural Disasters and Complex Emergencies, JAMA 2005;293, No. 15.
10. Salama P, Spiegel P, Talley L, Waldman R. "Lessons learned from complex emergencies over past decade." *Lancet*. 2004; 364: 1801-1813.
11. Archer SE. Civilian and military cooperation in complex humanitarian operations. Kansas: Army Combined Arms Center Fort Leavenworth; 2003.
12. The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR). (2001) "Coordination in Complex Emergencies," 01 September 2001 (viewed 11 December 2019 and available at: <https://www.unhcr.org/partners/partners/3ba88e7c6/coordination-complex-emergencies.html>).
13. Yuste P, Campbell J, Canyon D, Childers M, Ryan BJ. Synchronized Humanitarian, Military and Commercial Logistics: An Evolving Synergistic Partnership. *Safety*. 2019;5(4):67.
14. Grundy J, Biggs BA. The impact of conflict on immunisation coverage in 16 countries. *Int J Health Policy Manag*. 2019;8(4):211.
15. Debate V. Measles, war, and health-care reforms in Ukraine. *Lancet*. 2018;390:347–48.
16. Lechat MF. "The epidemiology of health effects of disasters." *Epidemiol Rev*. 1990; 12:192-198.
17. Noji EK. "The Public Health Consequences of Disasters." New York: Oxford University Press; 1997.
18. Genser J, Irwin Cotler H. MP. The responsibility to protect. Oxford University Press; 2011.
19. Bischoff PH, Aning K, Acharya A, editors. Africa in Global International Relations: Emerging approaches to theory and practice. Routledge; 2015.
20. Khorram-Manesh A, Löroth H, Rotter P, Wilhelmsson M, Aremyr J, Berner A, Carlström E. Non-medical aspects of civilian–military collaboration in management of major incidents. *Eur J Trauma Emergency Surg*. 2017;43(5):595–603.
21. The SPHERE Project, sphere handbook, <https://www.spherestandards.org/> (Viewed: Nov 29, 2019).

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

